BEST AVAILABLE COPY

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 29-33, 39-42 and 52 are pending. Claims 29-31, 40-42 and 52 have been amended, and claims 33 and 39 have been canceled without prejudice.

Claims 29-33, 39-42 and 52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The specification has been objected to for failing to provide literal support for "a cell-free cartilage membrane."

While Applicants fully disagree with the rejection, the term "cell-free" has been deleted from the claims. Withdrawal of the rejection is therefore requested.

All the remaining rejections are made under 35 U.S.C. § 102. For reasons discussed below, each of these rejections are properly withdrawn. See, for instance, *In re Marshall*, 198 USPQ at 346 ("rejections under 35 USC 102 are proper only when the claimed subject matter is identically disclosed or described in the prior art.").

Claims 29-33 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.5,759,190 (Vibe-Hansen). The Office Action asserts that Vibe-Hansen discloses a cartilage membrane comprising at least one surface part carrying a composition comprising at least one stimulation molecule which induces signal transduction in chondroblast/chondrocytes and which is selected from collagen proteins, proteoglycans and non-collagenous proteins.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. The present invention provides a membrane suitable for use when e.g. chondrocytes are transplanted into a cartilage defect to repair the defect. A membrane as claimed contains specific molecules that stimulate signal transduction in the transplanted chondrocytes whereby hyalin cartilage is formed.

In contrast, Vibe-Hansen relates to transplantation of chondrocytes and describes two different membranes, namely i) a hemostatic barrier serving to block vascular invasion of the cartilage site to be repaired (column 3, line 35-37) and ii) a cell-free barrier which isolates the repair site and keeps **transplanted** cells **in place** (column 3, line 35-39). To fix the membranes **in place**, Tisseel® was used. Tisseel® is an organic glue that is based on a reaction between clotting proteins (e.g. fibrinogen) and thrombin. When fibrinogen and thrombin react, fibrin is formed as a clot. The Tisseel® employed by Vibe-Hansen et al. is as described in column 5, *i.e.*

"The Tisseel® kit consists of the following components:

Tisseel®, a lyophilized, virus-inactivated Sealer, containing clottable protein, thereof fibrinogen, Plamafibronection (CIC) and Factor NU and Plasminogen.

Aprotenin Solution (bovine)

Thrombin 4 (bovine)

Thrombin 500 (bovine)

Calcium Chloride solution

... The fibrin adhesive or the two-component sealant using Tisseel® kit is combined in the manner according to Immuno AG product insert sheet."

As evident from the data sheets enclosed as Exhibit A, Tisseel® is a two-component sealant, the one component being clottable protein and calcium chloride, and the other component being thrombin and aprotenin. Accordingly, the application of Tisseel® on a hemostatic membrane or a cell-free barrier as described in Vibe-Hansen et al. **results in** formation of a sealant, i.e. the clottable protein like fibrinogen has reacted with thrombin to form a fibrin clot. Accordingly, the clottable protein like e.g. fibrinogen as such is not present on the membrane or barrier as it has reacted with thrombin to form long chain of fibrin molecules known as fibrin aggregates or fibrin polymer. Rather, a new macro-molecule has been formed by covalent bondings and this molecules is now different from fibrinogen.

In contrast hereto, a stimulation molecule like e.g. fibrinogen is used in an **unreacted** form in accordance with the present invention.

Moreover, as shown in the paper by Brittberg et al. (Biomaterials, vol. 18 (3) (1997), pp 235-242 – previously filed) Tisseel® impairs the natural repair of the osteochondral defect and, accordingly, Tisseel® cannot have any capacity of inducing signal transduction in chondrocytes resulting in formation of hyalin cartilage. Although Tisseel® contains e.g. fibrinogen, which in the present application is used as a stimulation molecule due to its properties in the present context, the fibrinogen in Tisseel® is reacted with thrombin to form fibrin aggregates, i.e. one or two peptides have been removed by means of thrombin (cf. Merck index 4103 relating to fibrin) and covalent bondings have been established.

Due to the fact that clottable protein like e.g. fibrinogen as such is not present in the membrane or barrier described by Vibe-Hansen et al. and the fact that Tisseel® has been found to impair the natural repair of an osteochondral defect, the proteins in Tisseel® cannot be stimulation molecules as claimed herein in the present invention.

Additionally, independent claim 29 has been amended to incorporate features of former claim 39, which former claim was not rejected over Vibe-Hansen.

In view thereof, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

Claims 29, 31, 32, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,876,452 (Athanasiou). The Office Action asserts that Athanasiou discloses a cell-free cartilage membrane (implant) and kit comprising at least one surface part carrying a composition comprising at least one stimulation molecule, which is selected from collagen proteins, proteoglycans and non-collagenous proteins.

Applicants traverse the rejection. Athanasiou does not describe a "cartilage membrane, comprising at least one surface part carrying a composition comprising at least one stimulation molecule, which i) comprises at least one RGD motif; ii) is selected from the group consisting of

collagen proteins, proteoglycans, and non-collageneous proteins, wherein the collagen protein is selected from the group consisting of collagen type II, VI, IX and XI; and iii) induces a signal transduction in chondroblasts/chondrocytes resulting in chondroblasts/chondrocytes producing and secreting matrix components that form hyalin cartilage, the cartilage membrane being a natural or synthetic collagen type I membrane or part thereof." Athanasiou nowhere teaches the claimed invention.

Additionally, independent claim 29 has been amended to incorporate features of former claim 39, which former claim was not rejected over Athanasiou.

In view thereof, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

Claims 29, 31-33, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,080,194 (Pechence). The Office Action incorrectly asserts that Pachence discloses a cell free cartilage membrane and kit comprising at least one surface part carrying a composition comprising at least one stimulation molecule. The rejection is traversed.

Pachene relates to a collagen membrane and a collagen matrix made of collagen I (see Example 1 and 2). However, collagen I is not included in the definition of a stimulation molecule in the sense of the present invention, cf. the amended claim 29. For instance, Type I Collagen is the major component in tissues like bone and connective tissue but is not present in hyaline cartilage. Furthermore, type I collagen is highly present in fibrocartilage, and expressed in increased amount in OA chondrocytes (Lorenz H., Arthritis Res., 2005; Shibakawa A., Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2003). This emphasizes that type I collagen is not a stimulation molecule for chondrogenesis and expression of hyaline cartilage.

Pachene does not describe a membrane as claimed in the present invention.

Indeed, independent claim 29 has been amended to incorporate features of former claim 39, which former claim was not rejected over Pachene.

In view thereof, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

Claims 29-33, 39-42, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,251,143 (Schwartz). The Office Action asserts that Schwartz discloses a membrane having an attachment factor and/or cell cartilage membrane and kit comprising at least one surface part carrying a composition comprising at least one stimulation molecule. The rejection is traversed.

Schwartz reports a certain insert that is made of a bioabsorbable material, which may include a repair factor or attachment factor that is releasably disposed in the insert (column 4, lines 16-31).

The insert of Schwartz et al. is not a membrane as Applicants disclose and claim. In column 3, lines 37-40 of Schwartz et al. it is mentioned that the insert may be a flexible porous film consisting essentially of completely bioabsorbable material. However, there is no reference or indication that such a film firstly should be a natural or synthetic collagen type I membrane or part thereof as claimed in the present invention and secondly, there is no indication that such a film contains a stimulation molecule. On the contrary, the skilled worker would understand that the film only contains the film-forming material. Thirdly, in column 10 of Schwartz et al. is mentioned a number of different materials that are said to be suitable to use as bio-absorbable materials for the insert. Such materials include:

"hyaluronic acid (e.g. as a fiber matrix), polyglycolic acid (e.g. as fiber matrix), collagen, including type I collagen (e.g. as a sponge matrix), polylactic acid (e.g. as a fiber matrix), fibrin clot (which can be filled and molded into the delivery unit), collagen gel (which can be overlayed into a polyglycolic acid matrix), polydioxane, polyester, alginate or combinations thereof"

K. Osther et al. U.S.S.N. 10/057,112 Page 9 of 10

In other words, *there* is no indication that the insert in the form of a film mentioned in column 3 should he made of collagen type I as the collagen is used to form a sponge matrix. Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

Claims 29-33, 39-42, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,236,447 (Kubo). The rejection is traversed.

Kubo et al relates to woven fabrics and not to a membrane that is a natural or synthetic collagen type I membrane or part thereof. Accordingly, Kubo does not anticipate the present invention. Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

Claims 29-33, 39-42, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,236,447 (Li). The rejection is traversed.

Li relates to a method for the preparation of a dense collagen membrane especially for use in the oral cavity in connection with periodontal lesions. In column 6, lines 59-70 it is said that the dispersion of collagen used in the claimed method may contain additives, Among others are mentioned glycoproteins such as fibronectin. However, addition of e.g. fibronectin to the dispersion will not result in a membrane containing fibronectin as such due to the fact that the dispersion in a subsequent step is subjected to a cross-linking step involving the use of e.g. formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde (see column 8, lines 18-44). Such a treatment will introduce multiple covalent bondings and change the overall protein structure, function and amino acid sequence related to fibronectin and, accordingly, if fibronectin should have been included in the collagen dispersion, the resulting membrane does not contain any fibronectin, but a crosslinked polypeptide sequence with no secondary or tertiary structures needed for biological activity. Furthermore, the polypeptide will no longer contain any ROD sequence but a chemical derivation thereof. Accordingly, a membrane according to Li does not contain any stimulation

K. Osther et al. U.S.S.N. 10/057,112 Page 10 of 10

molecule in the sense of the present invention. Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

It is believed the application is in condition for immediate allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Date: May 2, 2005

Customer No.: 21874

Respectfully submitted,

Stephana E. Patton (Reg. No. 50,373)
Peter F. Corless (Reg. No. 33,860)

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

P.O. Box 55874 Boston, MA 02205

Telephone: 617-439-4444 Facsimile: 617-439-4170

THE MERCK INDEX

AN ENCYCLOPEDIA OF CHEMICALS, DRUGS, AND BIOLOGICALS

THIRTEENTII EDITION

Editorial Staff

Maryadele J. O'Neil, Senior Editor
Ann Smith. Senior Associate Editor
Patricia E. Heckelman. Associate Editor

John R. Obenchain Jr., Editorial Assistant
Jo Ann R. Gallipeau, Technical Assistant
Mary Ann D'Arecca, Administrative Associate

Susan Budavari, Editor Emeritus

Published by Merck Reseurch Laboratories Division of

MERCK & CO., INC. Whitchouse Station, NJ

te include sesquiterpene factores such as pathene is medicine sessions parties in Development of Days in Medicines, D. W. Gurrod et al., Eds. (1916) lig. thester, 1986) pp 100-104. Inhibition of produkts. thesis by leverlew extract: H. O. J. Collier of a thesis by feveriew extract. It. I what not 122 (1980). Effect on human platelet phospholipse. 122 (1980). Effect on numan passes: proxpholyae heja, J. M. Bailey, ibid. 1054 (1981). eiden, hra. Leukotrienes Med. B. 653 (1982); J. K. Thakkire. Blophys. Acta 750, 134 (1983). Inhibition of plus. n. Biophys. Acta 739. 139 (1905). Immunion of plus. ry activity: S. Heptimstall et al., Loncet 1, 101 Heptimstall et al., J. Pharm. Pharmural. 39, 139 ructure and anti-secretory activity study: W. A. Operal., ibid. 38, 709 (1986). Clinical trials in magnature. in direct feverfew leaves: E. S. Johnson et al. 8th et direct revertew leaves: E. S. Johnson et al., 8th. 1. 569 (1985). Use of oil extract in migraine: E. al., US 4788433 (1988 to R. P. Scheret, E. y., Phorm. J. 232, 611-614 (1984). Brief revise et d possible side offects: C. A. Baldwin et al., de 38 (1987).

Fexofenadine. [83799-24-0] cc.cs-Dimethyl-tfl. -[4-(hydroxydiphenylmethyl)-1-piperidinyllhung rtic acrd: carboxyterfenadine: terfenadine cabery, 16455. CarHaNO4: mol wt 501.65. C 76.625, g 2.79%, O 12.76%. Nouse dating-type histanine E-ntagonist. Prepn. A.A. Carr et al. DE 300708 4254129 (1980, 1981 both to Richardson-Memory) on as active metabolic of terfenadine, q.v.: D. A. al., Archetmittel-Fursch, 32, 1185 (1982). Syntess: ai et al., J. Org. Chem. 59. 2620 (1994). HPLC 19. m terfenadine: K. Y. Chan et al., J. Chromotog): determn in biological fluids: A. Terhechte, G. Bb. A 694, 219 (1995). Effects on cardiac K' change et al., Mol. Pharmacol. 44, 1240 (1993). Companis of cardiotoxic potential: J. A. Hey et al., Aras sch. 46, 153 (1996). Clinical pharmacology in the R. Simons et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 98, 109

i from methanol-butanone, mp 195-197" (Carr); s white crystals from methanol, mp 142-143 (Karat) hioride. [153439-40-8] Allegra: Telfast. Call mol wt 538.13.

CAT: Antshistaminic.

Flaturidina. [69123-98-4] 1-(2-Deoxy-2-flatin furanosy)-5-iodo-2.4(11/3/1)-pyrimidinediene; H. huoro-β-D-arabinofuranosy)-5-iodojuracii, 5-iodojuracii, 5-iodojuracii; FIAU. C.,H.,FIN.2O.; mol wi 3720 - 2.71%. F. 5.11%. I 34.11%. N. 7.33%. O 2136. viral agent; nucleoside analog with untihepands be epn: K. A. Watanahe et al., J. Med. Chest 22.3 Antiviral activity: J. M. Colnemo, C. Lopes, And Chemother. 24, S05 (1983); K. A. Statcher & es. 23, 45 (1994). Clinical pharmacokinetics and et al., Animicrob. Ag. Chemother, 38, 2131 (19) f trial suspension; S. R. Ahmed. Lancel 343 evaluation of mechanism of hepatotoxicity: Los 1. Invest. 95, 555 (1995). Clinical toxicological pronxon et al., Tennspl. Proc. 27, 1219 (1995).

Crystals from ethanol, mp 216-217°.

4103. Fibrin. (9001-31-4) Fibrin monomer is fibrinogen from which one in two peptides have been removed by means of drombin: 1 ski. Chandrasekhar. Nature 197, 1267 (1963). The term fibrin is usually applied to polymerized fibrin mono-mer. Terminal clotting takes place in four steps: (1) fibrinogen hydrolyzes under the influence of thrombin into fibrin and fimide fragments; (2) fibrin forms soft clots which can be middly dispersed; (3) thrombin activates fibrin-stabilizing facm, q.v., an enzyme precursor, present in blood plasma; (4) fibrin is the networks cross-links under the influence of the activated FEP to give the final hard cluts: Chem. & Eng. News 43, no. 32, 38 (1965): O. D. Ratnoff, H. Bennett, Science 179, 1291 (1973). Fibrin occurs in two principal forms, fibrin-f. "insolu-bit" fibrin, differing from fibrin-s. "soluble" fibrin, by urea solubility as wall as other characteristics. Fibrin-i is formed though the reaction of a fibrinogen-like plasma protein, PSP, which in the presence of Ca21 converts which would otherwise weakly bonded gel into a covatently bonded, insul dat: Rosenberg, Carman, Nature 204, 994 (1964). Chemical miles of crosslinking segments: Chen, Doolittle, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 66, 472 (1970); eidem, Niochemistry 10, 4486 (1971); Deolittle et al., Biochem. Biophys. Ret. Commun. 44. 94 (1971). Reviews: W. H. Seegers, Prushrombin (Harvord University Press, 1962) 728 pp. Laki, Gladner, Physiol. Rev. 44. 127 (1964); Lorand, Fed Proc. 24, no. 4, part 1, 784 (1965); A. L. Copley, Thromb. Res. 14, 249 (1979). Review of chemstry: several authors. Thromb. Diuth. Haemorch., Suppl. 39

Fibrinogen. [9001-32-5] Factor I: Parenogen. A planns glycoprotein belonging structurally to the keratin-myosin group. Synthesized and secreted by hepatic parenchyand cells. Present to the extent of 0.3-0.4 g/100 int in human agna. Essential to the clotting of blood. Its symbosis is early increased during scute inflammatory challenge. The fibinogen molecule consists of three populate chains. a (A), \$ (a), and y (C), crustlinked by several disulfide bonds. The mol of about 400,000 represents a dimeric form of the molecule. Thembin releases fibrinopeptides A and I) from the N-terminal ent of the α and β chains of fibrinogen in the formation of fibrin during congulation. Because fibrinogen is less sol than other plasma proteins it is readily separated by precipitation with sedium culoride: Florkin, J. Biol. Chem. 87, 620 (1930); or with manner. oun suffate: Nanninga, Arch. Neer, Physial. 28, 241 (1946). Prepa from human plasma: Edsall et al., J. Am. Chem. 69, 2731 (1947). Purification: Cama et al., Naturoiss. 48, 374 (1967). End group determination: Larand Middlebrook Schene 118, 515 (1933). Structure studies: Schauenstein, Rechangert, V. Manuforsch. 8b, 473 (1953). Edeall. J. Polymer Sci. 12, 223 (1954). ner Sci. 12, 231 (1934). Reviews: Scepars. Physial. Rev. 34, 11 (1984). 11 (1934); Lorand, Fed. Proc. 24, no. 4, part 1, 784 (1965); areral audiors, Thromb. Diath. Huemorrh., Suppl. 39 (1970); A. L. Conlor. A. L. Copley, Thromb. Res. 14, 249 (1979). Fibringen has then thorn to be the receptur fur the endagenous feetin (angluliam) nearlied by Activated planelers: T. K. Gartner et al., Nature 28, 688 (1981). Review of biosynthesis: G. M. Fuller, D. G. Nichis, App. 107 Richia, Arn. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 389, 308 322 (1982).

Springly and in water. Ag solns are viscous. Isoelectric point 55. Readily denatured by heating in 56" or higher, and by themical assured by heating in 56" or higher, and by chemical agents such as relicylablehyde, naphthoquinnne sulfonatcs, ninhydrin, and alloxan. Small amounts of papain wil clot fibrinogen, but larger amounts will digest the clo THERAP CAT: Coagulant (clotting factor).

4105. Fibroblast Growth Factor. [62031-54-3] FGF Growth srimulatory factor originally isolated from bovine brain and pituitary and found to stimulate DNA synthesis in culturee fibroblast cells, Isoln: D. Gospodarowicz, Nature 249, 122 (1974). Mitogenic effect on cultured cell lines and induction of amphibian limb regeneration in vivo: D. Gospodarowicz et al., Advar. Metab. Disord. 8, 301 (1975). Two closely related forms have been identified, known as hasic (bPGF) and sculic (aPGF) fibrolists growth factors, having a total amino acid sequence homology of 55%. Both induce the proliferation and differentiation of a wide variety of cell types, including corneal and warrular endothelial cells, myoblasts, chondrocytes, osteoblasts and glinl cells. FGF has neurotrophic and anglogenic activity and may play an important role in the wound healing process. Purification of bFGF from pituitary: D. Gospodarowicz, J. Biol. Chem. 250, 2515 (1975); from Invin: D. Gospodarowicz et al... ibid. 253. 3736 (1978). Identification of aFGP from beying brain: K. A. Thomas et al., ibid. 255, 5517 (1980). Comparison of libroblast growth factors: S. K. Lemmon et al., J. Cell Blul. 95, 162 (1982). Purification and characterization of pFGP: K. Thomas et al., Proc. Nas. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 357 (1984). Identity of bFGF from brain and pitultary: D. Gospodarowicz et al., thid. 6963. Amino acid sequence of hFGF: F. Esch et al., thid. 82, 6507 (1985); of aFGF: G. Gimense-Gallego et al., Science 230, 1385 (1985); F. Esch et al., Biochem. Diophys. Res. Commun. 133, 554 (1985). Possible identity of aPGF with endothelial cell growth factor (ECGF) and eye-derived growth factor-II (EDGF-II): A. B. Schreiber et al. J. Cell Riol 101.
1623 (1985); of bl-(ii) with macrophage-derived growth factor (MDGF): A. Baird et al., Bluchem, Biophys. Res. Commun. 126, 358 (1985): of FGFs with relinu-derived endothelial cell growth factors: A Baint et al., Biochemistry 24, 7855 (1985). Climing of cDNA for bovins bFGF: J. A. Abraham et al., Science 233, 545 (1986); for human bFGF: T. Kurokawn et al., FEBS Letters 213, 189 (1987); M. Iwane et al., Riochem. Riobles. Res. Commun. 446, 470 (1987). Branchist. phys. Res. Commun. 146, 470 (1987). Expression of a cliemicully synthesized gene for bioactive bovine aFGF: D. L. Line meyer et al.. Riotechnology 5, 960 (1987). Receptor binding study: G. Neufeld, D. Gospodarowicz: J. Blol. Chem. 261. 5631 (1986). FGI like factors have been unlated from several human turnor cell lines; R. R. Lubb et al., Binchem, Bimphys. Res. Commun. 139, 861 (1986); M. Kingsbran et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 2448 (1986); D. Moscatelli et al., J. Cell. Physiol. 129, 273 (1986). FGP has also been shown to be structurally homologous to the presein products of several incogeacs: C. Dickson, G. Peters, Nature 326, 833 (1987); M. Taira et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 2980 (1987); P. Delli Bovi et al., Cell 50, 729 (1987). Review of tissue distribution and biosectivity of bFGF: A. Baird et al., Rec. Prog. Horm. Res. 42, 143-203 (1986). Review of structural characterization and bio logical functions: D. Gospodarowicz et al., Endocrine Rev. 8, 95-114 (1987). Potential rule in the control of pituitary and general development; D. Gospinlarowicz, N. Ferra a. J. Nierold Biochem. 32, 183-191 (1989). Reviews: K A. Thomas, G. Gimenez-Gallego, Trends Bischem, Sci. 11, 81-84 (1986); D. Gospudarowicz et al., Mol. Cell. Endocrisus, 46, 187-204 (1986); K. A. Thomax, FASEB J. 1, 434-440 (1987).

Acidic Chrobiast growth factor. [106096-92-8] pl 5-7 Exists in 2 microheterogeneous forms: aFGF-1, a 140 amino acid peptide, mol wt 15,900 dalrons, and aFGF-3, on amino transment form lacking 6 amino terminal residues, mol us 15.200 daltons.

Basic libroblast growth factor. [106096-93-9] pl 9.6. 146 amino acid peptide, mel wt - 16,000 daltons. Also exists as an amino truncated form, des I-15 bFGP, lacking the first 15 amino scid residucs.

4106. Pibroin. [9007-76-5] Protein filaments produced by members of the phylum Arthropodu, particularly by certain species balonging to the classes Inserta (insects) and Arurhaida (spiders, etc.) Fibroin is the main protein of silk and is secretar

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.